

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Siskiyou)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

MICHAEL FRANKLIN MOORE,

Defendant and Appellant.

C087540

(Super. Ct.
No. MCYKCRBF2016403)

On December 29, 2015, K.M. was discovered beaten to death at his residence. Defendant Michael Franklin Moore told law enforcement that he and his half brother, codefendant Jerry Edward Brink, went to K.M.'s residence and Brink got into a fight with K.M. On Christmas Eve that year, defendant and Brink showed up at the home of Charles Brown, and both had blood on them. Defendant had blood on his knuckles, which were skinned, and spoke of having a sore and swollen knuckle.

Defendant pleaded no contest to first degree burglary as a violent felony (Pen. Code, § 459),¹ assault by means likely to produce great bodily injury (§ 245, subd. (a)(4)), and involuntary manslaughter (§ 192, subd. (b)). The trial court imposed a

¹ Undesignated statutory references are to the Penal Code.

stipulated eight-year prison term, imposed various fines and fees including a \$7,200 restitution fine (§ 1202.4, subd. (b)(2)) and a stayed parole revocation fine in the same amount (§ 1202.45), and awarded 1,039 days of presentence credit (904 actual and 135 conduct). Defendant appeals. He did not obtain a certificate of probable cause.

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days have elapsed, and we have received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

s/BUTZ, J.

We concur:

s/RAYE, P. J.

s/MURRAY, J.